

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_96210
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF LONG COVID SYNDROME DEGREESEVERITY ON THE QUALITY OF LIFE OF COVID-19 SURVIVORS AGED 15-64 YEARS OLD AT GOTONG ROYONG HOSPITAL, SURABAYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.jurnalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The manuscript titled "THE EFFECT OF LONG COVID SYNDROME DEGREESEVERITY ON THE QUALITY OF LIFE OF COVID-19 SURVIVORS AGED 15-64 YEARS OLD AT GOTONG ROYONG HOSPITAL, SURABAYA" is promising but poorly presented. I have the following observation and requests:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In general, the whole manuscript should be rewritten for consideration.</li> <li>2. Request authors to rewrite the abstract section. Rewrite the methodology and results of the abstract section.</li> <li>3. Request authors to work on improving the obvious grammatical and syntax errors in the entire manuscript. Also, check the spelling.</li> <li>4. Please understand the difference and use of the symbol . and ,. Use them properly throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>5. Describe the abbreviations within the manuscript.</li> <li>6. Proper management of the table.</li> <li>7. Present the results properly.</li> <li>8. Also include more references with more research. Request to cross-check references</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bikash Adhikari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Prithvi Narayan Campus, Tribhuvan University, Nepal</b>