

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_96265
Title of the Manuscript:	Burden of Autoimmune Disorders; An updated Review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The authors present a paper about autoimmune diseases. The subject falls within the scope of the journal. Description and discussion of the findings must be improved. The bibliography is pertinent and current, but may be expanded. The text needs improvement, and the wording should be comprehensively reviewed, preferably by a native English-speaking professional, including the respective certificate. 2. adequate 3. adequate 4. adequate 5. must be improved 6. Suggested references in the archive.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	the wording should be comprehensively reviewed, preferably by a native English-speaking professional, including the respective certificate.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fabiano Reis
Department, University & Country	Universidade Estadual De Campinas, Brazil