

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Geographical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJGR_96656</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Space-based Mapping And Assessment of a Three-decade Urban Landcover Dynamics Towards a Smart Federal Capital City, Abuja, Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>The manuscript is important to scientific community since its study about the land cover and urban areas as well as predicting the future in order to sustain the world using remote sensing technology.</b> 2. <b>Yes.</b> 3. <b>Some conclusion and discussion should be added and discussed in the abstract</b> 4. <b>Yes.</b> 5. <b>Yes.</b> 6. <b>Updated/recent references should be added .</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1. Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The study was good and give impact to the society yet several suggestion in the above should consider.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zaity Syazwani Mohd Odli</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Malaysia Perlis, Malaysia</b>