

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_96445
Title of the Manuscript:	Family planning and child malnutrition: Relationship between age at first pregnancy, birth interval, family size and malnutrition in children aged 0-24 months admitted to the nutritional centers of the Liberty Hospital and Mother and Child Hospital of N'djamena (Chad)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	yes it is toooooo long good, I think after the corrections Appropriate Need more editing They are The article is interesting and touches on one of the major problems in Africa.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs more attention	
Optional/General comments	The title needs changing, and it must be shorter. There is too many details on the statistic; make it shorter and more informative There are multiple unnecessary repetitions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elmukhtar M. Habas
Department, University & Country	University of Qatar, Libya