

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_96151
Title of the Manuscript:	Strengthening Local Institutions in Mangrove Ecotourism at Pangandaran, West Java Province, Indonesia.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>To some extent. However, major revisions needed. Details and comments are provided as comments on the word document of manuscript. Not sure which institutions authors are referring to. It is more like an assessment of the importance of mangroves in ecotourism.</p> <p>Objectives should be clearer. More details on the questionnaire and how it was implemented needed. More comments in attachment.</p> <p>There is a need for reorganisation and where information is presented. See comments in attachment.</p> <p>It needs major revisions No. Recent references are not included. References from impact factored journals are not included. Details in attachment</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To some extent, but some sentence structure need to be checked for clarity.	
Optional/General comments	Details in attachment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chandani Appadoo
Department, University & Country	University of Mauritius, Mauritius