

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_95777
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Natural Preservative Ingredients Garlic Extract (<i>Allium sativum</i>) on The Shelf Life of Fresh Vannamei Shrimp (<i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Partly (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This work seems to be promising in the use of Garlic Extract to prolong the shelf life of Fresh Vannamei Shrimp. It could be an alternative used by food industry. However, some points should be reviewed. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript needs to make clear about the properties (for example antimicrobial activity) of garlic extract and how these properties could extend the shelf life of Vannamei Shrimp. - In the discussion section, the authors should compare the results with some other similar articles. - In the conclusion section, the authors should describe the future perspectives regarding the use of garlic extract. - Is the university ethics committee approved the sensory analysis experiment? If yes, please describe the number of the process. 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes, it is		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, the manuscript performed sensorial analysis, therefore it is necessary the ethics committee approval. It is important to describe in the text the number of the approval process by the ethics committee.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Natália Ferrão Castelo Branco Melo
Department, University & Country	UFPE, Brazil