

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_95761
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTIVENESS OF REVERSE OSMOSIS (RO) WATER ON THE GROWTH OF DENISON FRY (Puntius denisoni Day, 1865)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? From over all observation it is found that abstract is clear and methodology is correct and chemical experiment and statistical analysis is also good. So I Think paper can be accepted.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (YES)</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? (YES)</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? (YES)</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (YES)</p> <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. The references sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shatrajit Goswami
Department, University & Country	Institute of Advance Education and Research, India