

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_95761
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTIVENESS OF REVERSE OSMOSIS (RO) WATER ON THE GROWTH OF DENISON FRY (Puntius denisoni Day, 1865)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	it can be published/shared to the scientific community with some revisions. yes yes yes yes only 25% of the references used in the manuscript was in the last 10 years, therefore, more recent references should be added, especially to elaborate the finding results.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but some of sentences and some notation is needed to be revised.	
Optional/General comments	Revision is needed, especially notations in tables, figure 2, tenses used in Materials & methods as well as in Results & Discussion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang L. Widiastuti
Department, University & Country	University of Lampung, Indonesia