

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_96937
Title of the Manuscript:	School Internal Factors and Teacher Effectiveness in Secondary Schools in Lira District, Uganda.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, though limited discussion. It could have been better if data triangulation was performed. Yes. Remove the period after word Uganda. Yes, the details were described accordingly. Yes, though the discussion was very limited. Yes, but it could have been better if the qualitative part was described fully since it employed a mixed method research design. The references are good but there were still outdated entries (1998, etc.) Rationalize why the research design was mixed method. Correlation test may not be strong enough to merit salient recommendations.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but it should undergo the stylistics and editing.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis G. Caballes
Department, University & Country	The National Teachers College, Philippines

Review Form 1.7