

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_96574
Title of the Manuscript:	Teacher Moves and Knowledge for Preparing Students take the General Certificate of Education Examination at the end of an Academic Year
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. The article presents important elements that can contribute to the improvement of teachers' practices, especially those who teach mathematics.</p> <p>2. The title is consistent with the content presented. I have no suggestions.</p> <p>3. Yes, the summary presents the main points discussed in the text.</p> <p>4. Yes, the items are properly organized and present the study results satisfactorily.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. More than half of the references (17) were published more than 10 years ago. Therefore, I suggest that the author update the references so that most of them are more recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article presents relevant content, and elements that are important for improving the performance of the mathematics teacher in the local reality.</p> <p>However, it is suggested that at least more than half of the references used were published less than 10 years ago, so that the discussion of the data is more current and that it can contribute to the contemporary reality of the topic addressed.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sarah Raquel Almeida Lins
Department, University & Country	Universidade de Brasília, Brazil