

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_96254 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Causes of Female High School Student Dropout in Bangladesh: An Exploration of the Pabna District in Familial, Economic, and Institutional Contexts |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>The topic under study is a novel, the author starts very well by telling us why school dropout is a problem in Bangladesh. However, the title is very wordy, the author should shorten the title to "Causes of Female High School Student Dropout in Bangladesh." An exploratory analysis of Pabna district; Generally, the manuscript is important in the sociological context, if school dropout is still a problem in the country under study. The title of the article is come what suitable but it can be fixed to avoid it being vague</p> <p>The Abstract of the article is very shallow, it does not problem a coherent t study of the study brief background, methods, results, and meaning and some insights into possible policy recommendations. The author should work on the abstract to signal what is the body of the text of the article. The author also takes a lot of time discussing the history of education in Indian instead of motivating the problem of the current study</p> <p>The sub-section of objectives and research questions in a short manuscript like this is not necessary. let the author remove them and retain them in the main thesis. There are several spelling and typo errors, and sentences are not connected and in some cases do not make sense for instance in the introduction and the literature review sections.</p> <p>The literature section is very lacking, let the author discusses and synthesize the literature to identify research where his or her study fits in the literature. It is not enough to just keep mentioning previous studies without critically critiquing of the studies to identify your gap and contribution to the literature. The Literature review section requires a complete overhaul, sentences are very long, and there is no connection between different studies included in the literature. In the text, the citation is still poorly done.</p> <p>In the context of sociology and social studies, the manuscript is scientifically correct but it needs a lot of improvement.</p> <p>The references are not sufficient and most of them are outdated, the author wastes a lot of space talking about the history of colonial education in India, e.g. east Bengal instead of concentrating on building a convincing research question "for instance what will Bangladesh lose if this study is not carried out. The author should be a bit innovative in building the research question</p> <p>1.1 Theoretical Framework, this section is used as if it is directly copied from the main thesis without editing or refining. The author should rework this section if it does not make any sense. For since mentioning the theories that your school dropout study is relying on and use those theories to explain your research question, why those theories and not others, and what new thing you add to the theories used</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>The language is very poor and it needs improvement, most sentences do not make sense and there is no connection between most of the sentences. Punctuation is lacking, grammatical errors are many. The author needs to rework the language to be suitable for scholarly writing not copying directly form the thesis to the article</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Methodology section: the author proposes the use of mixed methods, first the quantitative section the sample of 160 students is very school to make generations about the school population, let the author tell us the sampling techniques and how he arrived at 160 people, lets us know the total number from which 160 is selected from. The sampling procedure is still lacking it requires improvement. Did the author apply simple random sampling to avoid bias in the sample? Data analysis of inductive reasoning looks vague it should be backed up with derivations of the said method</p> <p>The results and discussion section seems disconnected with several sections which are disjointed from other sections. The author should note that each section in the analysis should be answering a certain research question, those analyses which are redundant should be removed</p> <p>The abstract should briefly discuss vital findings and police recommendations toward the end</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Mukoki James |
| Department, University & Country | Makerere University, School of Statistics and Planning, Uganda |