

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_96254
Title of the Manuscript:	Causes of Female High School Student Dropout in Bangladesh: An Exploration of the Pabna District in Familial, Economic, and Institutional Contexts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

Parameters	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. The manuscript is quite useful and it is important to the scientific community. 2. The title of the article is quite suitable. 3. Abstract has to be elaborated by including findings of the article. 4. The researcher followed scientific structure of preparing article. 5. Yes, absolutely. 6. The general rule for inclusion of references are more than 70 percent referred article should be within last five years. Hence there is need to add.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is appropriate uses and it suites for scholarly publication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	An abstract should include few more findings of the article and references have to be add latest of last five years.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	B Suresh Lal
Department, University & Country	Kakatiya University, India