

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_96109
Title of the Manuscript:	Elementary Design: A form of creative thinking implementation to develop student creativity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes this can be an important manuscript as the basic elementary design can be an interesting approach to become a tool for developing creativity in an individual.</p> <p>Yes the title of the article is suitable Yes the abstract is comprehensive. The manuscript is not well structured and lack in organised thoughts and systematic approach. Needs a more systematic in depth research. Needs in depth research and explanation to prove the application in the developing creativity. The references are not sufficient enough to further prove and support the research. The implementation of elementary designs is in itself is an innovative and creative thought and can be an interesting approach and tool for the developing creativity in an individual. Keeping in mind the clarity of thoughts, it can be more descriptive enough to elaborate and establish the point of view in more organised and systematic way.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	I strictly do not recommend the quality of language for a scholarly communications. The article loses its weightage in the grounds of language written, which overall lose its clarity of thought.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Minnu Kejriwal
Department, University & Country	India