

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_96087
Title of the Manuscript:	MIGRATION OF SPECIAL EDUCATION TEACHERS: A PHENOMENOLOGICAL STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is appropriate</p> <p>3. The abstract needs to be worked on thoroughly. It must be a summary of the work done and not what to be done. The current abstract in the work looks like a proposal, example, "Hence, this phenomenological paper will deal on understanding the experiences of special education teachers in migrating and the adjustment that they have made in teaching in a different classroom setting."</p> <p>4. The manuscript needs to be structured appropriately: the statement of the problem must be rewritten to show the appropriate gap for the study; there should be research objectives/questions. The first paragraph of the research instrument should rather be the Sampling Procedure. That is, the sampling technique and the Research Instrument must have separate subtitles.</p> <p>5. The researcher should go over the whole work and correct all grammatical errors and must also follow the journal author's guideline in other to present an orderly work.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lydia Aframea Dankyi
Department, University & Country	College of Distance Education, University of Cape Coast, Ghana