

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95993
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-demographic Profile and Nutritional Status of Children Five-Year Old and Below
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is important</p> <p>2. Title must include the Name of the place where the study was conducted</p> <p>3. In the abstract the results must give more details and the conclusion shortened.</p> <p>4. Structure of the manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>5. The manuscript must be written again because the standards used for comparison is not appropriate. Growth chart is used for children and not BMI</p> <p>6. References are sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Spelling and grammar issues must be addressed	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please rewrite the results pertaining to BMI</p> <p>the results section pertaining to BMI should be written again</p> <p>The standards used are not appropriate</p> <p>Use country specific standards or WHO standards and suitable percentiles</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jemima Beryl Mohankumar
Department, University & Country	PSG College of Arts & Science, Bharathiar University, India