

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95978
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Cultivation Strategy of Junior Middle School Students ' Mathematics Application Consciousness
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> Yes, it is.</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> Yes, it is</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> No, it is not. The abstract section should clearly state the contribution of this research and what research gap it addresses. It should bring in more details about methodology used. Include population and sampling, and data analysis approach. You should Use precise, clear, descriptive language in abstract.</p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Yes, they are. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> The introduction would benefit from a succinct description of what the manuscript is about and a stronger emphasis on the problem addressed by the research. Importance of the research needs also to be more clearly stated, in more depth and perhaps including here an example. In discussion section, you should integrate the literature with the finding to provide a coherent argument in favor of the proposed research provided.</p> <p>5. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> Try to include some latest journal article references published from 2019-2023 in the specific areas to improve the relevance and currency of the research. Extended literature review would help you also with addressing the issue of contribution of the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, it is	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arita Marini</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Negeri, Indonesia</b>