

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95745
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of COVID-19 on Hospitality Educators
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>*Title is general in nature. Restate it... For instance, if you using ':', then the type of your study (Quantitative/Critical/Experimental) should be there. You can't simply say, "Impact of COVID-19 on Hospitality Educators in (your area), India"</p> <p>*Moreover, according to the general author's guidelines, i.e., there are various inconsistencies;</p> <p>- Most Journals suggest APA, which is not followed correctly, please review APA formatting – there are formatting issues throughout this manuscript.</p> <p>- Abstract should be limited to 200 words maximum; please compose your abstract in 200 words.</p> <p>- References list not presented in APA properly.</p> <p>- Tables and figures are not represented in APA Style</p> <p>- Abbreviations and translations are not provided properly</p> <p>- The reviewer finds various grammatical errors/mistakes throughout the manuscript (see abstract which is corrected by the reviewer, i.e., article errors, adverbial errors, or main verb error, etc.)</p> <p>- A good paper needs a minimum of 25 references from well-recognized international journals.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Zammad Aslam
Department, University & Country	School of Multimedia Technology and Communication, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia