

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_96246
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND BACTERIOLOGICAL QUALITY OF DRINKING WATER SOURCES IN KAKAMEGA COUNTY, KENYA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, this manuscript discusses about all the major and minor parameters related to deciding the water quality of various country If the title is methodology specific it will good. The current title is very generalized one No, abstract of the manuscript explained all the results of the proposed work which is not needed in the abstract session. It should be very concise and try to figure out it in 200-250 Words Yes, but the manuscript not in the appropriate format. Numbering is not added. Differentiations of main section and subsections are missing Yes, scientifically correct but the presentation of Introduction part is very poor. Refer the standard papers for presenting the concepts. Reference should be in the correct format. Each reference described in different format. Follow the guidelines for referencing. Add few more fundamentals references for measuring techniques for water parameters 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No Manuscript formulation should be improved. The authors performed more analysis but the representation of article and flow of the manuscript is poor.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The authors covered all the physicochemical and biological parameters and provides the useful meta-database for different countries, It is appreciable. The authors suggested to rewrite article because the representation of the manuscript is not neat. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K Sri Dhivya Krishnan
Department, University & Country	Agni College of Technology, Anna University, India