

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_96476
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS INFLUENCING YOUTH PARTICIPATION IN LEADERSHIP IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN IRINGA MUNICIPALITY, TANZANIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- There are no major demerits that can be a serious weakness of the study. The whole study is somewhat balanced and the candidate has generally met the objective of the research.</p> <p>2- YES</p> <p>3- The abstract generally reflects the purpose, methods, key results and significant of the study. However, the author needs to decrease the number of word in the abstract. besides, There is lack of preciseness in terms of spelling out introduction or background of the study (central focus on what bases?), specific methods and the finding of the study.</p> <p>4- yes but. Need to added some recent study in literature review section.</p> <p>5. yes</p> <p>6- yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The whole manuscript has been properly proof-read. Good sentence structures. However there are several other issues such as Some references are not stated, Some paragraphs are too long, And literature review section so little.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shorouk Mohamed Farag Mohamed Aboudahr</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Unitar International University, Malaysia</b>