

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_96285
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital Recruitment Technology Implementation – A study of select companies in Telangana State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>2. the title is suitable but there is need to add the location: Digital Recruitment Technology Implementation: A study of select companies in Yadadri Bhuvanagiri Dist of Telangana State.</p> <p>3. Article not comprehensive; the followings should be included: a. the research design adopted for the study, b. the reliability of the instrument for collect data, c. the statistical tool used to analysis the data, d. recommendation(s)</p> <p>4. the variables were not conceptualised for the layman to also understand; such as: Digitalization of HR practices, Digital tools, implementation of HR practices. E-Recruitment, Social Media Recruitment, Traditional Recruitment, Digital Recruitment strategies.</p> <p>5. Yes, scientifically correct. just minor corrections</p> <p>6. References are not in any particular referencing style</p> <p>7. Research Methodology is a course of study. researcher should use Methodology</p> <p>8. grid lies should be removed in tables</p> <p>9. make recommeddatios drawig from the study fidigs</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English suit the paper but researcher need to cross-check grammars properly	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Researcher should effect all minor corrections and proceed for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elisha, Otekenari David
Department, University & Country	Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Nigeria