

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_96193
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF GINGIVAL ZENITH POSITION AND DISTANCE FROM VERTICAL BISECTING MIDLINE IN RIGHT AND LEFT MAXILLARY CENTRAL INCISORS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes.Gingival Zenith Position is important landmark for esthetic aspects/concerns. Yes Yes NO..Discussion has to be elaborated. Yes Reference number 5, there is no publication year mentioned. Except reference no 5, all are OK. Macroesthetics and Microesthetics...? Please elaborate regarding this in your manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	FAIR ENGLISH.	
Optional/General comments	STROBE guidelines should have been followed...	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Ramesh Babu
Department, University & Country	KNR University of Health Sciences, Mamata Dental College, India