

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_96192
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF MORPHOLOGICAL VARIATIONS OF FRENAL ATTACHMENT IN DIVERSE POPULATION OF VIDARBHA REGION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>Yes, it is, however it lacks proper statistical data, chi-square test, variance analyses</p> <p>No sure about it. In conclusion it is not repeated as it should be.</p> <p>It should be revised</p> <p>Yes, but they could be developed.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>In my opinion there are more up to date articles concerning lip frenum. Give any justification for wrong speech in adults yet not children or infants.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is quite good, but I would not be sure if the frenum shouldn't be adjusted into frenulum.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The articles should be reviewed and proofread. The argumentation should be extended and the reference should be more up to date.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anna Lichnowska
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Łódź, Poland