

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_96636
Title of the Manuscript:	Laparoscopic Deloyers procedure for rare Synchronous Colon Cancer
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	It is an interesting surgical option alternatively ileorectal anastomosis for synchronous colon cancer Yes, it is suitable It contains the essentials elements to well summarise article content. Aim, surgical approach and conclusions are clear. Yes they are appropriate to case report. There is no a scientific method section because of kind of paper The most recent reference dates from 2015. It is better to add more recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is.	
Optional/General comments	Surgical steps are clearly explain and photos and diagrammatic representation are very usefull	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patrizia Marsanic
Department, University & Country	Edoardo Agnelli Hospital, Italy