

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJCRS_96386 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Inguinoscrotal Manifestations of Antenatal Bowel Perforation |
| Type of the Article | Case report |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | The manuscript is interesting and describes a rare manifestation of prenatal intestinal perforation. The title fully reflects the content of the article but the abstract is not fully explanatory of the content. The other sections of the article are quite appropriate to the content. The presentation of case 2 is not very clear: it speaks of swelling at the level of the right iliac fossa, which does not correspond to the clinic of an inguinal hernia but to an abdominal pathology that would have required an abdominal exploration! It would be interesting to understand the importance, in terms of incidence, of this clinical manifestation of perforation by adding more recent references. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Federica Bianchi |
| Department, University & Country | Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Spain |