

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_96045
Title of the Manuscript:	RARE ASSOCIATION OF AN AGGRESSIVE GIANT CELL TUMOR WITH XERODERMA PIGMENTOSUM
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<b>Yes it is. This case report needs more elaboration especially the discussion section</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>No – need to expand on this</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes- but needs alaboration</b>  <b>Yes, but the references need to be inserted in text properly</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language and grammar needs improvement	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Case report needs to be extensively elaborated to make it suitable for publication	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veenesh Selvaratnam
Department, University & Country	University Malaya Medical Centre, Malaysia