

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_95737
Title of the Manuscript:	Inadvertent Gallbladder stenting during Endoscopic management of Choledocholithiasis detected during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A Case Report
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1- The manuscript is important because it describes a rare situation. 2-The article is suitable. 3- The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive enough. 4- The subsections and structure of the article are appropriate. 5-Yes 6- References are limited and somewhat dated.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1- Language/English quality of the article issuitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Although the manuscript is interesting, it mainly shows a complication that can be detected by the contrast material image during ercp by the team performing ercp. It is recommended that the discussion and conclusion part be arranged according to this information and opinion. Resources and discussion section should be enriched.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## Review Form 1.7

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mehmet Nuri Koşar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Anatolia Antalya Hospital, Turkey</b>