

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_96666
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Structure Research of Forklift Seats Based on Ergonomic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This project is good for ergonomic. Ensure the design should implement to the real situation and test it.</p> <p>The title not so interested. Perhaps can add the element of design in the title. My opinion is "model for Forklift Seats Based on Ergonomically design" Comprehensive but not enough, can add ergonomic elements structure of the manuscript is organized and enough information. Perhaps this manuscript can focus on ergonomic or design or engineering analysis. Please focus the area</p> <p>References listed are good but majority not in recent year. Author also can refer other established paper https://scholarworks.rit.edu/theses/10392/ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341580309_Design_and_Development_of_an_Ergonomic_Hybrid_Forklift_Seat https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/323381495.pdf</p> <p>its good if author selects the focus. Either On engineering design or in comfortable of ergonomic design</p> <p>the good technical paper if can highlight on impact or outcome on ergonomic perspective</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language at par to academican paper. But can improve for technical paper on the analysis part</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please add on the paper with the impact on ergonomic perspective</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ts Khairul Fahzan Bin Salleh
Department, University & Country	Politeknik Sultan Salahuddin Abdul Aziz Shah, Malaysia