

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_96846
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Priming Methods on Seed Viability and Seedling Growth of Upland Rice Under Drought Stress
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The research present in the manuscript is important and contribute in the scientific research Title is suitable The abstract is accepted According to the standard Manuscript is scientifically correct Accepted The introduction of the manuscript is too long advised to focus on the topic and rational, the statistically analysis is defective the analysis for germination present on seven treatments whereas the growth focus on four treatment. Make uniformity and used one comparison LSD or DMRT	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is accepted	
Optional/General comments	More precise the conclusion on the basis of material and results.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zahoor Ahmed Soomro
Department, University & Country	Sindh Agriculture University, Pakistan