

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_96426
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges faced by women collectives in lease land farming in Thrissur district, Kerala state
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, lease land farming going to be expanded at a faster rate in the near future. It requires systematic analysis.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, comprehensive information provided.</p> <p>Review of literature and research gap not found in the paper.</p> <p>As the researchers tried to explore the work systematically, the paper seems to be correct scientifically.</p> <p>Need to add few more studies under literature review.</p> <p>In table 2, Ranks are given in two number systems (i.e. first (1) rank is given Arabic, rest given in Roman. Consistency is lacking.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Okay, attempt can be made to improvise further.	
Optional/General comments	After adding literature review and showing research gap, the paper may be accepted for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravi Akula
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Gandhi University, India