

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_96426
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges faced by women collectives in lease land farming in Thrissur district, Kerala state
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Of course, the article is important to the scientific community. It gives a different dimensions of group farming, which is need of the hour in Indian agriculture. Yes Instead of results, more emphasis is given to the methodology in the abstract, which needs to be revised according to the results & discussions in the study. Yes Yes The paper has gone through the recent reviews. However, a scope is there to review a few more past research and presented in the study. A few studies for reference are as follows. 1, Galeski, B. (1973). The prospects for collective farming. 2. Knickel, K., Zerger, C., Jahn, G., & Renting, H. (2008). Limiting and enabling factors of collective farmers' marketing initiatives: results of a comparative analysis of the situation and trends in 10 European countries. <i>Journal of Hunger & Environmental Nutrition</i>, 3(2-3), 247-269. Retrieve from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/19320240802244041?needAccess=true&role=button 3. Leder, S., Sugden, F., Raut, M., Ray, D., & Saikia, P. (2019). Ambivalences of collective farming: Feminist political ecologies from the Eastern Gangetic Plains. <i>International Journal of the Commons</i>, 13(1). Retrieve from https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/26632715.pdf</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Satisfactory. I have made some corrections in the Track Change mode, which can be accepted.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	With the revision in abstract & addition of a few more reviews, the paper can be accepted.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramappa K B
Department, University & Country	Institute for Social and Economic Change, India