

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_96211
Title of the Manuscript:	Credit pattern of small and marginal farmers in Cauvery Delta Zone, Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>This article needs corrections before it can be printed</b> <b>This article does not appear to be research and is merely a description of some agricultural areas.</b> <b>Needed corrections</b> <b>To some extent</b> <b>To some extent</b> <b>Resources are not sufficient</b>  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In this study, given that the size of the population is limited, Cochran's formula should be used to determine the sample size.</li> <li>- In this study, only the results of descriptive statistics have been mentioned and no analysis has been done. The results of the interview should be entered into the statistical software and then analyzed.</li> <li>- Unfortunately, no contribution has been made to help the existing literature.</li> <li>- METHODOLOGY is incomplete.</li> <li>- No theoretical literature is mentioned for it and only the results of a few researches are mentioned. After the introduction section, the methodology has been discussed.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mahdi Filsaraei</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hakim Toos Higher Education Institute, Iran</b>