

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_96072  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ASSESSMENT OF THE CURRENT STATUS OF MILK PRODUCTION AND FARM-LEVEL MILK LOSSES AMONG SMALLHOLDER DAIRY FARMERS IN MOGOTIO SUB-COUNTY, BARINGO COUNTY |
| Type of the Article      | Review Article   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p> | <p>n general, the title is interesting, the level of similarity is still low<br/>use some similar journals in google search<br/><a href="https://www.google.com/search?q=ASSESSMENT+OF+THE+CURRENT+STATUS+OF+MILK+PRODUCTION+AND+FARM-LEVEL+MILK+LOSSES+AMONG+SMALLHOLDER+DAIRY+FARMERS+IN+MOGOTIO+SUB-COUNTY%2C+BARINGO+COUNTRY&amp;oq=ASSESSMENT+OF+THE+CURRENT+STATUS+OF+MILK+PRODUCTION+AND+FARM-LEVEL+MILK+LOSSES+AMONG+SMALLHOLDER+DAIRY+FARMERS+IN+MOGOTIO+SUB-COUNTY%2C+BARINGO+COUNTRY&amp;aqs=chrome..69i57j69i60.91741259j0j4&amp;sourceid=chrome&amp;ie=UTF-8">https://www.google.com/search?q=ASSESSMENT+OF+THE+CURRENT+STATUS+OF+MILK+PRODUCTION+AND+FARM-LEVEL+MILK+LOSSES+AMONG+SMALLHOLDER+DAIRY+FARMERS+IN+MOGOTIO+SUB-COUNTY%2C+BARINGO+COUNTRY&amp;oq=ASSESSMENT+OF+THE+CURRENT+STATUS+OF+MILK+PRODUCTION+AND+FARM-LEVEL+MILK+LOSSES+AMONG+SMALLHOLDER+DAIRY+FARMERS+IN+MOGOTIO+SUB-COUNTY%2C+BARINGO+COUNTRY&amp;aqs=chrome..69i57j69i60.91741259j0j4&amp;sourceid=chrome&amp;ie=UTF-8</a></p> <p>in general, the abstract is too long<br/>The introduction in the abstract is too long<br/>The purpose of the study has not yet appeared in the abstract<br/>According to the journal's author guidelines:<br/>Make sure the research abstract contains:<br/>Brief introduction<br/>Purpose?<br/>When was the research conducted??<br/>How many respondents??<br/>What are the research variables to answer the research objectives??<br/>What analysis tools??<br/>The results of the research are brief according to the purpose<br/>Research findings<br/>The findings at the end of the paragraph are not focused because the research objectives are unclear, which causes suggestions that do not directly relate to what will be examined in this study.<br/>keywords using words that are commonly searched by researchers<br/>the introduction is quite good and has led to a GAP analysis in order to look for novelty in this research but the problem statement is still made separately from the introduction and it is better to just put it together<br/>according to the style or writing guidelines<br/>the introduction is quite good and has led to a GAP analysis in order to look for novelty in this research but the problem statement is still made separately from the introduction and it is better to just put it together<br/>The review literature is only synthesized, it doesn't need to be made specifically and it should be included in the introduction to enrich the novelty of the research<br/>according to the style or writing guidelines<br/>Make sure the research method contains:<br/>When was the research carried out??<br/>How many population and respondents??<br/>What are the research variables to answer the research objectives??<br/>What analysis tools?? And according to whom??<br/>no need to explain general stuff<br/>The research method does not explain what analysis will be used to answer the research objectives, namely The purpose of the study was to assess the current status of milk production and farm-level milk losses among smallholder dairy farmers in Mogotio Sub-county, Baringo County<br/>What variables are used to answer the purpose of this study??<br/>data on socio-economic characteristics are good, but the authors have not properly explained these socio-economic characteristics and related them to the purpose of this study<br/>The results and discussion do not answer the research objectives, namely The purpose of the</p> |  |

**Review Form 1.7**

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  | study was to assess the current status of milk production and farm-level milk losses among smallholder dairy farmers in Mogotio Sub-county, Baringo County<br>SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS are too long and do not address the research objectives<br>Primary references: journals, proceedings, research reports, patents, books. 80% of primary referrals, namely a minimum of 40 journals (30 national journals, 10 Scopus/Thomson/Clarivate indexed international journals) with a maximum age of 5 years compiled using the Mendeley application |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The quality of the English language needs serious improvement  |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   | The quality of the manuscript still needs to be improved   |  |

**PART 2:**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Muhammad Aswar Limi</b>              |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Universitas Halu Oleo, Indonesia</b> |