

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_95683
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges encountered by Veterinarians and Dairy Farmers in context of Animal healthcare services in Kashmir
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Ensuring the health of the animals is the essential condition for obtaining high quantitative and qualitative productions. This type of production has the ability to ensure the well-being of people and the efficiency of farms. Veterinary work involves many obstacles. They must be well known to everyone, especially the competent institutions. Great efforts are needed to eliminate these obstacles in order to have a healthy population and ensure the food security of a country. The problem addressed by this study is welcome and must be known by all those involved in the field of animal breeding.  2. The title is suitable, comprehensive 3. The abstract provide sufficient information regarding the main objective and results of the current study 4. The structure is appropriate and well described 5. The manuscript approached a worldwide issue. The scientific correctness is subjective and folds individually for each country and each district according to their problems in this field.  6. The references is good enough	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language is good enough with minor revision (follow the track changes)	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Neamt Radu Ionel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Research and Development Station for Bovine Arad, Romania</b>