

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_95627
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF PADDY (Oryza sativa L) PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGIES ADVISED BY THE KVK JAMMIKUNTA IN KARIMNAGAR DISTRICT OF TELANGANA STATE
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>yes Need revision. IMPACT OF PADDY PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGIES DISSEMINATED BY THE JAMMIKUNTA KVK IN KARIMNAGAR DISTRICT OF TELANGANA STATE. Yes. The abstract should reflect the methodology and major research findings of the study in a quantifiable terms. Need more details and following appropriation. Introduction The introduction part is not in detail. It should contain the status of paddy production, importance, need for the study with literatures. Methodology Formulas on adoption quotient, productivity level, profitability and impact assessment and their calculations should be present in Methodology not in Results and Discussion. Results and Discussion The values of the findings should be given in tables not as word form. Detailed Description and interpretation of the findings should be there under each sub headings. Reference In the reference part some of the references viz., Ravishankar.K.(2005),Tanveer Ahmed (2006) Vichare, 2007 were not quoted in the text. Need more appropriation Not sufficient in the text. Only two authors were cited in the text as indicated in the reference part. The references viz., Ravishankar.K.(2005),Tanveer Ahmed (2006) Vichare, 2007 were not quoted in the text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Moderate	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Need to be improvised	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Janaki Rani
Department, University & Country	Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India