

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_95626
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF RED GRAM (Cajanus cajan L) PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGIES ADVISED BY THE KVK JAMMIKUNTA IN KARIMNAGAR DISTRICT OF TELANGANA STATE
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES YES Yes, but abstract should be revised. Yes Yes No	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	After reviewing the manuscript it is suggested revision as suggested: 1. The Abstract and Introduction portion need to be revised. Impact of adoption of production technologies need to be elaborated with suitable references for better clarity of impact study for adoption of technologies. 2. In Methodology, there is no mention about survey, collection of data, statistical method followed for analyses. 3. The Reference section need to be revised with inclusion of more references pertinent to the context of study. 4. The Impact analysis for adoption of technologies should be represented by some model or graphical representation for better clarity and quality of the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashim Midya
Department, University & Country	India