

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_96875
Title of the Manuscript:	Removal of Methyl Blue from Aqueous Solution Using Magnetic Loquat Seed
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The title does not emphasis on the application of biochar but the paper is all about the biochar which is derived from the seeds. The Introduction is general. The innovativeness of this work is not well clear. Method: The chemical information (e.g. company information, manufacturing country) is not available. The details of the isotherm was also not available so, it is difficult to understand why these isotherms were used. Result and discussion need further details. Specially discussion part 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	In the main, the manuscript is well-organized. However, the usage of English still requires a minor revision, as in reading over the text, there are a number of places where several grammatical	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shubhra Bhattacharjee
Department, University & Country	Texas Tech University, United States