Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJACR_96345**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Phytochemical identification and analgesic potential of the seed extract of Irvingia gabonensis**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**This paper has a potential to be accepted, but some important points should be reformed** **The method of extracting the effective substance of the studied plant is debatable** **The mouse strain used in this study is debatable**	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	**There is written problem in whole the text.** **All punctuation, including commas, and …, need to be revised.** **The figures are not satisfactory and clear.** **The references are not suitable, sufficient, relevant, and include up to date sources**.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ramin Farhoudi**
Department, University & Country	**Pasteur institute of Iran, Iran**