

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_96302
Title of the Manuscript:	Testing of Electrical Properties and Synthesis of Mno <sub>2</sub> -Graphene Composites from Leaching Results of Manganese Rocks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>No, both text and figures need proofreading.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>4. <b>No, the Results and Discussion section is inadequate.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Throughout the text there is a problem with spacing between words. Captions of figures and tables texts are too short. There is a problem with citing figures in the text, for example, "...the resulting spectrum will be obtained as shown in Figure 4.7,..." Improve graphics quality. In the Results and Discussion section, the authors presented tables and graphs without any description of the results. For the identification of phases found through X-ray diffraction, the authors did not explain how they obtained the fractions of each phase.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Carlos Augusto Cardoso Passos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Espirito Santo, Brazil</b>