

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_96354
Title of the Manuscript:	Valorization of Tiger Nuts (<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>) Sourced from Cameroon in the Production of Yoghurt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it is. However, the authors have to explain clearly about the importance and position of the study in introduction.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Need to explain the importance of the study in the beginning of abstract</p> <p>Yes, they are. However, the manuscript looks like a research report than a journal article, especially in materials and methods and results and discussion.</p> <p>Yes, I think but it needs to be revised in many parts so that it can be seen that this research is scientifically correct.</p> <p>No, only one reference is recent (less than 5 years). Ndiaye <i>et al.</i> 2019. Optimization of a Tiger Nut-Based Yoghurt Formulation by Response Surface Methodology. <i>Food and Nutrition Sciences</i> 10 (12). M. El-Shenawy*, M. Abd El-Aziz, W.I. El-kholy, M.T. Fouad, 2012. Probiotic yoghurt manufactured with tiger-nut extract (<i>Cyperus esculentus</i>) as a functional dairy food, <i>J. of Agricult. Research. and Natural Resources</i> 1(2): 20-31.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, I think the authors have to improve the English quality of the article. Many grammatically errors in this article.	
Optional/General comments	Need Major Revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Millatul Ulya
Department, University & Country	Universitas Trunojoyo Madura, Indonesia