

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_96325
Title of the Manuscript:	An Ethnographic Study on Clergymen concerning the Aetiology and Management of Mental Illness in South Western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This is a medical anthropological study that investigates how people think about mental illness in Nigeria. The study is unique to this region and should be published as is. This is a medical anthropological study that investigates how people think about mental illness in Nigeria. The study is unique to this region and should be published as is.</p> <p>In addition, I would like to see a description of how patients and families make sense of mental illness using Kleinmann's explanatory model, etc., and I look forward to future research.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Takahiko Nagamine
Department, University & Country	Sunlight Brain Research Center, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Japan