

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_96325
Title of the Manuscript:	An Ethnographic Study on Clergymen concerning the Aetiology and Management of Mental Illness in South Western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. It gives a qualitative approach and is contextual. Suitable Yes Methodology: Analysis of data was inadequate Yes Table number 1; There ia an addition of Extra text on right side. Many words were fused with previous ones, needs to be disentangled. Analysis needs to be elaborate. Kindly Follow these in preparation of paper: 1. Use Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research (SRQR) from Equator guidelines for research. Also, COREQ checklist can be used. 2.Refer to the following books for analyzing the data: a)Doing Ethnographic and Observational Research Michael Angrosino b)ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF ETHNOGRAPHIC DATA A Mixed Methods Approach Second Edition Margaret D. LeCompte and Jean J. Schensul	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Detailed in the boxes given above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anand Bhogaraju
Department, University & Country	Malla Reddy Institute of Medical Sciences, India