

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_95854
Title of the Manuscript:	Barriers to Entrepreneurship in Healthcare Organizations in Pakistan
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The topic is interesting and provides valuable insights on the organization of healthcare system in Pakistan. Providing information on the issues concerning barriers to entrepreneurship in the field might be essential for further growth and development of the healthcare sector. In this aspect, this manuscript is particularly important for the scientific community, researchers, healthcare providers and patients.</p> <p>Yes Yes, however, it is better to provide a structured abstract according to the structure of the paper including Introduction, Material and methods, Results, Discussion and Conclusion sections. Yes, however, some of them need a minor revision. Proposals are given within the text of the manuscript. Yes</p> <p>References should be prepared according to the standards of the journal: https://journalair.com/index.php/AIR/about/submissions</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript needs a minor revision. Considering the merits of the paper, I recommend publishing the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nadya Avramova
Department, University & Country	Medical University, Bulgaria