

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_96622
Title of the Manuscript:	Yoghurt Synbiotic with Fortification of Bawang Dayak (Eleutherine palmifolia) Extract
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, it is. However, the authors must clearly explain the study's importance and position in the introduction.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, it is. However, the conclusions do not answer the aims.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p> <p>Yes, I think.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, I think the authors must improve the article's English quality. Many grammatical and typographical errors in this manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Need major revisions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Millatul Ulya
Department, University & Country	Universitas Trunojoyo Madura, Indonesia