

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_95983
Title of the Manuscript:	HABITS AND TECHNICAL SYSTEMS AT WORK IN ARTISANAL FISH PROCESSING IN THREE LOCALITIES OF SASSANDRA-MARAHOUÉ'S DISTRICT OF CÔTE D'IVOIRE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	- Interesting topic, however There is no connection between the manuscript's title and the results presented, especially in the abstract section. I notice an inconsistency caused by lack of logical coherence. - Poor English grammar. The entire manuscript should undergo extensive English editing. I suggest that you have your manuscript checked by a native English-speaking colleague. - No Material and Methods section in the manuscript - Many references used are outdated and date back 10 years. Update the references/Bibliography	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Poor English	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Other comments are marked in the manuscript, I suggest strict attention!	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Justin Ombeni Birhashwirwa
Department, University & Country	ISTM-Bukavu, Democratic Republic of Congo