

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_96301
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of Demographic factors on work-related Injuries in the Oil and Gas Industry in The Niger Delta Region in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>Yes. the manuscript is important for scientific community.</b>  2. <b>Yes, It is.</b>  3. <b>The abstract needs correction. The comments were expressed in the text of the manuscript.</b>  4. <b>Subsections and structure are need to be corrected. The comments were expressed in the text of the manuscript.</b>  5. <b>Yes, it is correct if the validity and reliability of the questionnaire is stated.</b>  6. <b>Yes, they are.</b>  <b>The article should be revised according to the comments expressed in the manuscript.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language/English quality of the article is suitable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>If the corrections are made completely according to the comments expressed in the manuscript, the article is acceptable.</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Samira Barakat</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Public Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>