

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_95828
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Amino acids and Trace elements on L-lysine Production by Bacillus species isolated from Nigerian soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Perhaps so 2- Yes. 3- Yes. 4- No. 5- No. 6- No.</p> <p>Interesting manuscript, but I suggest you rewrite it, as it has many errors that make it difficult to read and suggest improvements in the literature and context of the work in order to contribute to improvement.</p> <p>1- Add lines to pages and add sequential lines to text. Without numbering it is very difficult to make suggestions and changes in the text. 2- The first citation of the name of the species must be complete, in the other citations you can abbreviate. 3- Correct is mL (some I have already changed in the text). More care and dedication in the writing process, such as subscript numbers in formulas, capitalized words at the beginning of sentences and lowercase in the middle, spacing between words, lack of parentheses ending references (there is no way to indicate where because there is no page or line numbering) [Example "lysine production by B. subtilis PR9 (r= 0.94 "], more uniformity in the text. 4- The text is not in the same color as the font (Many parts of the text are gray [" The final product is usually presented as a salt, Lysine-HCl (Lysine monochloridrate) [5]."]), more care is needed. Some I changed, I suggest that authors proofread the text very well. 5- I suggest you review the title spacing 6- I suggest that you review the entire manuscript well. 7- I suggest redoing the subtitles. Subtitles are unformatted. Image should not be in the figure, but below. Incorrect subtitles. I suggest redoing. 8- I suggest using the same font for the text and subtitles, with Times New Roman in different sizes and Arial, centered and non-centered. It takes more dedication in the writing process. 9- I suggest redoing all captions and figures. There are boxes with text that prevent the visualization of the data [Example: Figure 2]. 10- Format references and standardize. It has many errors like species names without italics, among many errors.</p> <p>I suggest authors revise and rewrite the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	France Anne Dias Ruas
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Brazil