

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Archives of Current Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ACRI_95804 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Influence Of Organizational Factors on Safety Performance in Oil and Gas Industry in The Niger Delta Region, Nigeria |
| Type of the Article | Original article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article "Influence of organizational factors on safety performance in the oil and gas industry in the delta region of Niger, Nigeria" is important for the scientific community and in general for environmental health. 2. If the title is correct. 3. The summary should improve and add a main conclusion. 4. The structure of the manuscript is correct. 5. The hallmarks and inferences made from the investigation work from the statistical tests carried out are correct. 6. Bibliographical references included in the studio are adequate. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Walter Edgar Gómez Gonzales |
| Department, University & Country | Universidad Privada San Juan Bautista, Perú |