

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_96392
Title of the Manuscript:	The Prevalence of Excess Adiposity and Associated Factors among Rural Post-menopausal Women in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This manuscript cover a common condition in the society should be address in several scientific aspects. There is a current importance of the topic selected. Associated factors to Adiposity is not studied by the work. Hence suggested to remove "Associated Factors" from the title. Abstract is well written. Better to include the ward " Bangladesh" as a key word. Same time key ward waist circumference can be removed as it not included to the abstract. Most of the parts are Appropriate. I had a question with selecting sample from school setting. It is recommended to justify the study setting relevant to age group as well may be that can be included as limitation of study. Satisfactory. Please revisit the journal format. In the scientific writing all the tables should be self-explanatory. Please include the abbreviations, statistical details under the each table.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Satisfactory	
Optional/General comments	Can be accepted after considering above mention comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K.P.K.R Karunagoda
Department, University & Country	University of Colombo, Sri Lanka