

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_95534
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Diversity and Phytochemical Studies on Selected Ecotypes of Utasi ( <i>Gongronema latifolium</i> ) Plant using Microsatellite Markers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes the article involved good content</p> <p>Yes good abstract</p> <p>There are no key words ?? add at least 5 -7 words</p> <p>There is no references for ((Detection of phytochemicals) ?? Cite it by references</p> <p>By any statistical program you determine Data in Table (2) ??</p> <p>There are some references are very old ....replace by other more updated like :</p> <p>England, J. M. Walford, D. M &amp; waters, D. A. N. (1972).Re-assessment of the reliability of haematocrit (MCHC).British. Journal of Haematology, 23, 242-247.</p> <p>Harlan J.R (1981). The Origin Of Indegenous African Agriculture. Cambridge History of Africa, vol 1: From Earliest Times to caSOOBC (3.D. Clark, ed.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK. PP 623-658</p> <p><b>I accepted paper after Minor corrections</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>good</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Minor corrections (above ))</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nagham Mahmood Aljamali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Iraq</b>