

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_94284
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Candidiasis Among Pregnant and Non-Pregnant Women in Eleme And Okrika Local Government Areas of Rivers State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study presented in the manuscript is relevant to the journal. The observations in structure of the paper that needs corrections are: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract is appropriate but word count is 389 and to be restructured to 250 words2. Key words not mentioned.3. Results are given in Results section as well as in Discussion section (Antifungal susceptibility was not mentioned in results but described in discussion)4. Antifungal susceptibility testing was not mentioned in Methods section but described in abstract as well as in discussion5. In Abstract section PCR was mentioned but not described in main section6. References section – citation sequence not followed7. Not mentioned about Ethical clearance from the institute	
Minor REVISION comments	In the material section – mentioned about informed verbal consent instead of written consent	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Ethical clearance from the institute required	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jayalakshmi. L
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India